

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/622,677	07/18/2003	Stephen Allen Johnson	3971-13-CON	3654	
22442 7590 04/24/2007 SHERIDAN ROSS PC 1560 BROADWAY			EXAMINER		
			RINEHART, KENNETH		
SUITE 1200 DENVER, CO	80202	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DERVER, CO	00202		3749	<u> </u>	
				1	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/24/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1	١.	
P	1		•	
ı	1	4		

Advisory Action					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief					

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/622,677	JOHNSON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Kenneth B. Rinehart	3749		

D. C. W. Fillian of an Annual Drief			<del></del>		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
·	Kenneth B. Rinehart	3749			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 11 April 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A</li> </ul>		in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In		
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. FILED WITHIN		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as		
2 The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two mont	hs of the date of		
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).					
AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause		
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be</li> </ul>	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);			
appeal; and/or	ater form for appear by materially re	duding or omipmying			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	):				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ winded below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			•		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered is necessary and		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ails to provide a (1).		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.		
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ince because:		
<ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> <li>13. ☐ Other:</li> </ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
•					

Application/Control Number: 10/622,677 Page 2

Art Unit: 3749

The applicant's arguments are not persuasive. The applicant is relying on case law and affidavits to support his arguments as opposed to the facts contained in the specification as filed. The applicant sates the examiner seems to acknowledge the declaration is sufficient under the law by stating that the applicant is relying on inherency to justify the support of the claims. The examiner did not state that the declaration was sufficient only that the **applicant** was relying on inherency. (emphasis added) The examiner never stated the reliance was justified or appropriate. Regarding the amendments, examination on the merits has ended. It should be kept in mind that applicant cannot, as a matter of right, amend any finally rejected claims or add new claims after a final rejection (37 CFR 1.116). In the response the applicant has amended claims 24, 68, 90, 112, and 134. Additionally, the applicant has failed to provide good and sufficient reasons why the amendment is necessary and was not earlier introduced (37 CFR 1.116(b). Therefore, the amendment will not be entered.

KENNETH RINEHART PRIMARY EXAMINER